

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,593	07/07/2003	Richard Dhont	DEN528	DEN528 1637	
75	590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER		
Thomas S. Baker, Jr. WHITE, D			WAYNE J		
1371 West 3rd . Columbus, OH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3745		
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1 11 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	114
	10/614,593	DHONT, RICHARD	, 7
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dwayne J White	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relepty within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	July 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-7,9,11-14,16 and 18-21 is/are refered to claim(s) 2,8,10,15 and 17 is/are objected to solution. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration. ejected.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I		, , ,	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of	ents have been received. Ents have been received in A Fiority documents have been	pplication No	Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/3/03. 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-5, 14, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kelsay, III (6,419,419). Kelsay, III discloses a rotor blade for a mechanical trowel comprising: a blade arm 18; a blade plate 12, and a spacer bar 20 disposed between the two. The blade plate and the spacer bar are removably fastened to the blade arm by a threaded bolt 28 and nut 34 through the unthreaded holes formed in the blade plate, spacer bar and the blade arm (Column 2, lines 40-51). When the fastening means is removed the spacer bar and the blade plate are separable. The unthreaded holes of the blade plate and spacer bar are chamfered such that the bolt head sink into the chamfered holes (See Figure 5). Kelsay, III further envisions an embodiment wherein the spacer bar is eliminated and the blade arm extends vertically to serve as the spacer bar as well as the blade arm (Column 4, lines 12-16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3745

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 9, 11, 13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelsay, III in view of Rijkers (6,536,989). Kelsay, III discloses all of the claimed subject matter as stated above except the blade arm and spacer bar being a subassembly, the spacer bar being welded or screwed to the blade arm or the blade arm having a cylindrical root part fitted into the hub and a polygonal plate bearing part.

Rijkers teaches a rotor blade for a mechanical trowel comprising a blade arm having a cylindrical root part and a polygonal plate bearing part 12 (See Figure 2); a spacer bar 24; and a blade plate 13. The spacer bar and blade arm are screwed together forming an integral subassembly. (Column 3, lines 37-48). Since both Kelsay, III and Rijkers disclose Rotor blade assemblies for a mechanical trowel, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the assembly of Kelsay, III, with the teachings of Rijkers, by having the spacer bar and blade arm be an integral subassembly as taught for the purpose of reducing assembly costs.

Claims 6, 12, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelsay, III in view of Rijkers. Kelsay, III as modified by Rijkers discloses all of the claimed subject matters as stated above except for the nut being a cap nut or the plate bearing part of the blade arm having a cross section wherein the upper part is hexagonal and the lower part is quadrilateral.

Since applicant has not disclosed that having a cap nut or the plate bearing part of the blade arm of a specific shape solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose above the

Art Unit: 3745

fact that the quadrilateral shape allows the blade plate to be below the rotor and it appears that the assembly of Kelsay as modified by Rijkers would perform equally well with a shape and cap nut as claimed by applicant, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the assembly of Kelsay in view of Rijkers by utilizing the specific shape and nut as claimed for the purpose of ensuring the blade plate rests below the rotor.

CONCLUSION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 8, 10, 15 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne J White whose telephone number is (703) 306-3464. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am to 5 pm T-F and alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (703) 308-1044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/614,593

Art Unit: 3745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Dwayne L what Dwayne White Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3745

DJW

EDWARD K. LOOK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**

10/13/04